	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/017,445	RIGBY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sally A Sakelaris	1634
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sally A Sakelaris</u> .	(3) <u>Brian Gildea</u> .	
(2) <u>Carla Myers</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 January 2005	Time: <u>3:45</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
102(b) art rejection.	,	
Claims discussed:		
1(d) and independent claim 21		
Deign out de sumante die sussie du		
Prior art documents discussed: Yurov et al.		
Down III		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The examiners suggested an amendment that would overcome the art rejection of record. Applicant agreed to said examiner's amendment(see Ex. Amendment).		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
-		*
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		